

PIJAR

www.pijar.org *ISSN:2456:4354*

INTERPRETATION OF AVARANA IN THE PATHOGENESIS OF MADHUMEHA

¹Dr.Mohammad Yaseen. Hullur, ²Dr. Prashanth.A.S M.D(Ayu), PhD, ³Dr. S.G.Chavan

¹Post Graduate Scholar, ²Professor & Head, ³Professor, Department of Kayachikitsa, Ayurveda Mahavidyalaya, Hubli, Karnataka, India.

ABSTRACT

Diabetes is a disease known to the world from ancient times. There are descriptions about this disease in ancient treatises like *Charaka Samhita, Sushruta Samhita, Ashtanga Hridaya, Ashtanga Samgraha* etc. Manifestation of *Madhumeha* happens when *Prameha* is left untreated. Acharyas have categorized it under *Vataja Prakara* of *Prameha*. Sedentary lifestyle and indiscriminate dietary habits are the two biggest reasons responsible for causing Diabetes.

KEYWORDS: Diabetes Mellitus, *Madhumeha, Avarana*

INTRODUCTION

There is a general notion that Diabetes mellitus is disease a of insulin deficiency. But the recent investigations have proved that in majority of cases there is no insulin deficiency at all. Rather the production of insulin is at a higher rate as compared to normal subjects. In the presence of high concentration of free fatty acid in the blood, it is utilized in energy metabolism; specially in the glucose uptake by the muscle tissue, leading to a state of hyperglycaemia. Therefore, the FFA has been recognized as the insulin antagonist because even in the presence of insulin, the entry of glucose in the tissues for normal metabolism is prevented by free fatty acid.

The *Prameha* as a disease entity has been recognized since long in *Ayuvedic* Science. As regards to the origin of this disease, it is traced up to the prehistoric period and *Charaka* has mentioned that it is a disease which

started during the disruption of organized by Daksha Yagnya Prajapathi. This is related with the eating of Havish, a special type of food made of milk, sugar, rice used for offering in *Yagnyas*. In *Ayurvedic* texts, this disease is defined to be characterized with excessive urination and turbidity. The turbidity may vary in colour and concentration, variety etc. depending upon the body reaction with the doshas.

NIDANA & SAMPRAPTI OF MADHUMEHA¹

Ahara	Vihara
Atisevana of	Atisevana of
Guru	Nidra
Snigdha	Asyasukha
Amla	Tyakta
Lavana	VyayAma Chinta
Na <mark>vanna</mark> pana	Sanshodhana
	AkurVatam

Sushruta has categorized the existence of Sthula and Krusha varieties of Pramehi² and Charaka has prescribed different lines of treatment for the Sthula and Krusha Pramehi³. He has also asserted that whenever a patient presents with Madhupama Mutra, a wise physician should always consider the possibilities of Madhumeha due to Vata as a result of Ksheenata of

Doshas and Madhumeha due to Kapha as a result of Santarpana.

It is very clear from Charaka's explanation that *Madhumeha* in *Krusha* occurs in the event of a relative *Vata Vriddhi* in comparison to the other Doshas. These persons may have been *Sthula* in the beginning but would have become *Krusha* due to *Dhatu Kshaya*.

On the other hand, Krusha Madhumehi are Rogis with Beeja Dosha. Hence, when such a Rogi consumes the Nidanas of Vata Vriddhi, he develops Madhumeha sooner than a Sthula Rogi who always indulges in Tarpana Ahara. Sthaulyata is also a condition due to Beeja Dosha and this Beeja Doshaja Sthaulya Rogi is more under the risk of developing the Madhumeha than the Jatotthara Sthaulya person.

The Samprapti of the Madhumeha (Diabetes mellitus) is described separately but narrated with the general samprapti of Prameha. A separate Samprapti of the Madhumeha is described in Charaka Sutra chapter 17, and Nidana chapter 4 and Astang Hridya chapter 10. According to Sushruta, the excessive indulgence in

the etiological factors related Prameha results to Aparipakva Vata, Pitta, Kapha and Meda, which further downward through proceed the Mutravaha Srotasa to get localized at Basti Mukha and thus leading to disease *Prameha* (Su. Ni. $6/4)^2$. Dalhana interprets the term Aparipakva as Ama. Again he asserts that along with Aparipakva Vata, Rasa, Mamsa etc. should also be considered (Dalhana - Su. Ni. 6/4). Sushruta also asserted that, if all the Prameha are treated improperly or ignored get into Madhumeha⁵. terminated Vagbhata narrated pathogenesis of Madhumeha very in two types i.e. **Dhatukshayatmaka** and Dosha Avaranatmaka⁶. Further, Vaqbhata interpreted that in all types of Prameha, the Dosha and Dushya remain same however difference in Mutra Pravritti is due to specific type of Samyoga between specific Dosha and Anukula Dushya.7

The *Mutra Pravritti* also depends upon the *Dosha Guna* which has been increased and also due to different types of *Samyoga* of *Dosha Gunas* with *Mutra*. *Charaka* explained the

pathogenesis in an elaborating manner i.e. general *Samprapti* of *Prameha* and specific *Samprapti* of different *Pramehas*⁸.

The description of Avarana Janya Samprapt⁹ of Madhumeha is a unique contribution of Charaka to the clinical medical knowledge. Here one can see that Nidana is same as that of Kaphaja Prameha but still the resulting disease is Madhumeha. Guru — Snigdhadi Ahara, Avyayamadi Vihara etc., leads to provocation of Kapha and Pitta Dosha inturn increases in quantity of Meda and Mamsa. All these increased factors obstruct the Gati of Vata leading to provocation of Vata.

This provocated *Vata* withdraws *Oja* from the body and takes it towards *Basti* and leads to *Madhumeha*, which is *Krichhrasadya* for treatment due to its origin from *Kapha* and *Pitta Doshas*. Initially *Vata Dosha* remains innocent in the pathology. The *Vata*, *Pitta* and *Kapha Doshas* start manifesting their symptoms intermittently depending on their extent of *Dushti*. Subsequently *Pitta* and *Kapha* attain *Kshayavastha* compared to *Vata*; due to *Kshaya* of *Dhatus*. This process of *Margavarana*

of Vata due to Kapha and Pitta occurs in two kinds of people. First in those who are Sthula and secondly in those who are not Sthula but have indulged in Kapha Medokara Ahara and Vihara. If the *Nidana* for *Pitta* are significant then it also gets *Dushti*. In Sthula people, the Sthaulya is the result of two reasons. First it is due to excess indulgen<mark>ce in *Kaphakara Ahara Vihara*</mark> and second is due to Beeja Dushti. In the former case, the Upachaya of Medas occurs due to the Nidana Sevana. Whereas in the later case, the Medo Upachaya occurs even in the absence of Kapha Medokara Ahara Vihara.

HYPERINSULINAEMIA¹⁰

Hyperinsulinemia,

or hyperinsulinaemia is a condition in which there are excess levels of insulin circulating in the blood relative to the level of glucose. While it is often mistaken for diabetes or hyperglycaemia,

hyperinsulinemia can result from a variety of metabolic diseases and conditions. Hyperinsulinemia can be seen in a variety of conditions including diabetes mellitus type 2, in

neonates and in drug induced hyperinsulinemia. It can also occur in congenital hyperinsulism, including nesidioblastosis.

Hyperinsulinemia is associated with hypertension, obesity, dyslipidemi and glucose intolerance. These a, conditions are collectively known as Metabolic syndrome. This close association between hyperinsulinemia and conditions of metabolic syndrome related or common suggest mechanisms of pathogenicity. Hyperinsulinemia has been shown to "play a role in obese hypertension by increasing renal sodium retention". In insulin resistant tissues, a threshold concentration of insulin is reached causing the cells to uptake glucose and therefore decreases blood glucose levels. Studies have shown that the high levels of insulin resulting from insulin might resistance enhance insulin resistance.

PANCREATITIS¹¹

Transient hyperglycemia is seen in 50% cases of Acute Pancreatitis, whereas 45 – 50% of patients with chronic pancreatitis develop Diabetes over 20 years of follow

up; compromised blood supply to islets from fibrotic scarring of exocrine pancreas may play a role. The diagnosis of pancreatic diabetes caused by chronic pancreatitis may be elusive because it is occasionally painless and often not accompanied by malabsorption clinical until after hyperglycemia occurs. Diabetic patients with pancreatic calcification or clinically demonstrable pancreatic exocrine dysfunction will manifest the unique aspects of pancreatic diabetes.

CHIKITSA

Sushruta as described to select drugs, which are having bitter pungent Astringent taste, Katu Vipaka, Ushna Veerya and Shoshaka, Chedana properties in the treatment of Madhumeha (Su.Chi.13/8). Shilajatu, Guggulu & Loharaja are the best medicines in Madhumeha.

Phalatrikadi Kwatha, Trikatukadhya, Modaka, Nygrodhadi Churna, Lodhradi Churna, Guduchi Swarasa and Trikatu Gutika in the management of Madhumeha. Bhaishajya Ratnavali describes that Triphala, Lohabhasma, Shilajith, Madhu, Guduchi Swarasa are the drugs of choice in all kinds of

Prameha. Madhumehasava,
Lavangasava, Madvasava,
Chandanasava etc, are useful for
Madhumeha¹².

APAKARSHANA

IN

MARGAVARANAJANYA

WADHUMEHA: Shodhana especially Vamana should be preferred in a Madhumehi if the Dhatukshaya is minimum & there are Kapha & Medodushti lakshanas. If there are Pittaja lakshanas & Dhatu kshaya does not render the patient Durvirechya, then Virechana can be performed. Similarly, if the Anubandha vata lakshanas are more and the patient is Samshodana arha then Basti can be performed.

CONCLUSION

- Margavarana Janya Madhumeha is seen in Sthoola & Balavan, so Apatarpana Chikitsa in the form of Langhana & Nidana parivarjana should be done.
- Madhumeha also manifest as a Paratantra vyadhi secondary to Chronic Pancreatitis, Endocrine tumours, Trauma etc.
- Chronic Pancreatitis, Endocrine tumours causes destruction of beta

- cells , this phenomenon can be understood as *Avarana*.
- Endocrine Tumours act as insulin secreting agents and cause hypoinsulinaemia whereas Chronic Alcoholism is a notable reason for hyperinsulinemia in non-diabetics.
- In *Ayurvedic* texts, this disease is defined to be characterized with excessive urination and turbidity. The turbidity may vary in colour and concentration, variety etc. depending upon the body reaction with the *doshas*.

REFERENCES

- Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha , Chakrapani Commentary, Edited by Vaidya Yadavji Trikamji Acharya ed. 2016, Chikitsa sthana Chapter 6, Shloka 4, Pg.no 445, Chaukhambha Surabharathi Prakashana.
- Sushruta Samhita, English translation by Srikanthamurthy, Vol 2, Chikitsa sthana chapter 11, Shloka 3, Ed. 2001, pg no 124, Chaukhambha Orientalia, Varanasi.
- Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha , Chakrapani Commentary, Edited by Vaidya Yadavji Trikamji Acharya Ed. 2016, Chikitsa sthana Chapter 6,

- Shloka 18, Pg.no 446, Chaukhambha Surabharathi Prakashana.
- 4. Sushruta Samhita, English translation by Srikanthamurthy, Vol 1, Nidana sthana chapter 6, shloka 4, Ed. 2001, pg no 503, Chaukhambha Orientalia, Varanasi.
- Sushruta Samhita, English translation by Srikanthamurthy, Vol 1, Nidana sthana chapter 6, shloka 27, Ed. 2001, pg no 510, Chaukhambha Orientalia, Varanasi.
- 6. Astanga Samgraha by Kaviraja Atrideva Gupta, Foreword by Rajavaidya Pandit Sri Nandakishore Sharma Bhishagacharya Vol 1, Nidana Sthana Chapter 10, Shloka 14, Ed. 2005, Pg no. 380, Chaukhambha Krishna Das Academy, Varanasi.
- Astanga Hridaya of Vagbhata, Vidyotini Teeka by Kaviraj Atrideva Gupta, edited by Yadunandana Upadhyaya, Nidnasthana 10, Shloka 7, Ed. 2008, Pg no. 345, Chaukhanbha Prakashana, Varanasi.
- Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha , Chakrapani Commentary, Edited by Vaidya Yadavji Trikamji Acharya Ed. 2016, Chikitsa sthana Chapter 6,

- Shloka 5-6, Pg.no 445, Chaukhambha Surabharathi Prakashana.
- Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha , Chakrapani Commentary, Edited by Vaidya Yadavji Trikamji Acharya ed. 2016, Sutra sthana Chapter 17, Shloka 81, Pg.no 103, Chaukhambha Surabharathi Prakashana.
- 10. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hyperins ulinemia.
- 11.API Textbook of Medicine, 8th edition , Edited by Siddharth.N.Shah et al 2008,

- Vol 2 ,Chapter no 18, Pg no. 1047, Published by The Association of Physicians of India.
- 12. Bhaishajya Ratnavali, Vidyotini Hindi Commentary, Vyakhyakara by Kaviraja Shri Ambikadattashastri, Chapter 37, Shloka 37-38, Pg no 510, Ed 1993, Chaukhambha Sanskrit Sansthana, Varanasi.

Corresponding author:

Dr.Mohammad Yaseen. Hullur

P.G.Scholar, Department of Kayachikitsa, Ayurveda Mahavidyalaya, Hubli Email-<u>yaseenhullur21@gmail.com</u>

Source of Support: NIL

Conflict of Interest : None declared

PARYESHANA