OCTOBER-NOVEMBER-2016

VOLUME 1

ISSN-2456-4354

ISSUE 2





PARYESHANA

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF AYURVEDIC RESEARCH

www.pijar.in

A clinical study of *PranadaGutika* and *ArshoghnaMahakashayaGutika* in the management of the *Arsha*

Dr. Mahesh Patel¹, Dr. Manish chaudhary², Dr. Sunil Joshi

M.D. (Kayachikitsa), Lecturer, G.J.Patel institute of ayurvedicstudies, New v.v.nagar¹,
 M.D. (Kayachikitsa), Practitionar in ano-rectal disease, Ahmedabad²
 M.D. (Swasthvritta), Reader, G.J.Patelcollege of ayurvedic studies, New v.v.nagar³

ABSTRACT:

Introduction: Present time is the era of fast foods, irregularly food timing, changing Life style and stress, all these factors disturb the Gastrointestinal tract resulting *Mandagni*and constipation, resulting *Arsha*.

Aim:To assess the efficacy of *Pranada Gutika* and *Arshoghna Mahakashaya Gutika* in the management of the *Arsha*.

Materials and Methods: Total 30 patients were registered andrandomly divided into two groups. Group A (trial) *PranadaGutika*) and Group B (control) *ArshoghnaMahakashayaGutika*).

Assessment was done based on the subjective and objective parameters after 6 weeks of treatment, with a follow-up 1 month(once in weekly). The data obtained in clinical study was analyzed by using Wilcoxon test.

Results: Group-Aand Group-B provided highly significant result (P<0.001) symptoms *Mandagni* and *Vibandh*.In Group A, cured found in 3 patients (20%); markedly improvement in 01 patients (6.67%), moderately improvement in 09 patients (60%), mild improved in 2 patients(13.33%).In Group B, cured found in 4 patients (26.67%); markedly improvement in 01 patients (6.67%), moderately improvement in 08 patients (53.33%), mild improved in 2 patients(13.33%). No ADR found during clinical study.

Conclusion: *ArshoghnaMahakashayaGutika*givenbetterresult in *Mandagni* and *Vibandh*. **Key words:** *Arsha,Mandagni*, *Pranadagutika,ArshoghnaMahakashayaGutika*

INTRODUCTION

In the present era of 21st century *Arsha* has become most common disease. According to Mayo's clinic, 3.4% of all the entered patients were

suffering from haemorrhoids. Symptomatic haemorroids affect at least 50% of the population at the sometime during their lives with around 5% of the population suffering

at any given time^[1] However, the true age distribution was not estimated. The WHO has started celebrating the 20th Nov. of each year as "WORLD PILES DAY", which clearly indicates the infiltration of this disease all over the world and tremendous physical and mental sufferings of the mankind as result of this disease.

In Ayurveda, allAcharyashave described Arshain separate chapter, and Acharyasushruta and Vagbhattahas described it under the heading of Asthmahagada. [2]

AcharyaCharaka has given elaborate description about Arsha'setiology, symptoms, pathogenesis and its management. He clearly says the involvement of all five subtypes of Vata, Pitta, Kapha in the Samprapti of Arsha.[3]

Even Sushruta the father of surgery, has mentioned four lines of Management such as: Aushadha, Kshara, Agni, Shastra. He indicates the 'AUSHADH CHIKITSA' as the first step in the management of Arsha. [4] He further advocates the importance of 'Bheshaj Chikitsa' (Snehadikriya) in comparision with the surgical treatment.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

To assess the efficacy of '*Pranada*' *Gutika*' and '*Arshoghna*' *Mahakashaya Gutika*' in the management of the *Arsha*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Selection of the patients

The patients from OPD & IPD of the Govt. Akhandanand Ayurved college and hospital, Ahmedabad and Shree Maniiben Ayurved Hospita, Asarawa, have been selected. These patients have been divided into two groups with minimum 15 no. of patients in each group.

Ethical clearance

The present study has been cleared by Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC No. PGT/7-A/Ethics/2013-14/1767, dated 10/09/2013) and also registered in Clinical Trial Registry of India (CTRI/2014/02/004383,

dated06/02/2014).Written consent of the parents of each patient was taken before starting the treatment. Basic information of the disease and treatment was given to the patients.

Criteria for selection of patients INCLUSION CRITERIA:

- > 1ST and 2nd degree internal piles.
- Uncomplicated External pile mass.

- Shuskaand AadraArshasboth are selected.
- Classical signs described by AcharyaSushruta
 - 1. Achirkalin2. Alpdos-ling-upadrava.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA:

- > 3rd and 4th degree internal piles.
- Thrombosed and prolapsed pile masses.
- Pappiloma, condyloma and sentinel tags
- Pile masses with excessive bleeding
- Malignancy involvement
- Parikartika (Fissure in Ano) and Bhagandara (Fistula in Ano) involvement

Grouping:

Selected patients were randomly divided into two groups by simple random method. In Group A (trial group) PranadaGutikaand Group B (standard group)

ArshoghnaMahakashayaGutikawere

Posology:

administered.

Dose : 4 gms daily (Each *Gutika* of 500 mg) in divided doses.

Anupan: Ushnodaka.

Duration: 6 weeks.

Follow Up: 1 month (Once

in Every Week)

Criteria for Assessment:

The improvement achieved by the therapy was assessed on the basis of classical signs & symptoms of *Arsha*. The routine Pathological and Biochemical investigations were done before and after treatment.

All the signs & symptoms were assigned score depending upon their severity to assess the effect of the drugs objectively.

Subjective parameters:

Cardinal symptoms:

Mandagni(Indigestion),
Vibandh(constipation),
Raktastrava(bleeding p/r),
Arshabhransa(Protrusion of pile mass).

Associate symptoms:

GudaKandu(itching), GudaVedana(pain in ano), GudaSrava (Discharge)
The following pattern was adopted for the scoring:

	Mandagni(Indigestion)		
0	No <i>Mandagni</i>		
1	Indigestion of heavy food.		
2	Indigestion of normal food and		
	heaviness in abdomen		

3	Indigestion of light food and				
	sometimes digesting of heavy				
	food and after complain of				
	heaviness in abdomen.				
4	Indigestion of all kind of food and				
	heaviness and flatulence constant				
	all throughout the day.				

	of ana	al canal.			
2	Pile	mass	protrude	dı	uring
	defec	ation w	hich reduced	l itse	lf.
3	Pile	mass	protrude	dı	uring
	defec	ation	which	repl	aced
	manu	ally			
4	Perma	anent	protrusion	of	pile
	mass.				

	Vibandha(Constipation)
0	Satisfactorily Soft stool passes
	daily
1	Passes Stool with strain,
	sometimes takes purgatives.
2	Passes Stool after more than 24
	hrs frequently take purgatives.
3	Passes Stool After gap of one day,
	normal purgatives does not works.

	Gudakandu(Itching	per
0	Rectum)	
1	Mild Gudakandu	
2	Moderate Gudakandu	
3	Severe <i>Gudakandu</i>	

	RaktaSrava(Bleeding per rectum)
0	No Bleeding P/R
1	Occasionally bleeding P/R (> 1week)
2	Frequently bleeding P/R (2-4 times/week)
3	Regularly bleeding P/R

	Guda Vedana (Pain in ano)
0	No pain
1	Occasionally mild Pain in ano
	which doesn't required any
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	medication.
2	Pain in ano after defecation which
X I	required medication.
3	Severe Pain in ano which does
	not control with medication.

	ArshaBhransha (Protrusion of				
	pile mass)				
0	No protrusion				
1	Pile mass protrude within lumen				

	Gudsrava(Discharge per
	Rectum)
0	No Gudsrava
1	Mild Gudsrava
2	Moderate <i>Gudsrava</i>

3 Severe *Gudsrava*

Laboratory Investigations:

(whenever possible)

- a) Haematological investigation
 - -Hb %
 - -Total leucocytes count and Differential count
 - -ESR
- b) Stool examination-Routine and Microscopic
- c) Urine-Routine and Microscopic

Dietary advice Pathya- Apathya:

The patients were allowed to take routine diet. But they were also advised to abstain from AhitakaraAhara& Vihara which leads to constipation and pathogenesis of Arsha.

Patients were advised to avoid the possible aggravating factors of *Arsha*.

Assessment of total effect of therapy:

The total effect of the therapy was assessed considering the following criteria.

- 1) Cured 100% relief in the signs & symptoms was considered as cured.
- 2) Markedly Improvement 76% to 99% relief in the signs & symptoms was considered as markedly

improvement.

- 51% to 75% relief was considered as moderate improvement in the signs & symptoms.
- **4) Mild Improvement** 26 to 50% relief in the signs & symptoms was considered as mild improvement.
- Unchanged Up to 25% relief was considered as unchanged.

Statistical analysis

Wilcoxon signed rank test was applied to evaluate the overall effect of therapy.

Selection of drug:

"PranadaGutika"^[5][Table 1]
described in the BhaishajyaRatnavalin
Arshaodhikar has been taken as Test
drug, and

"ArshoghnaMahakashayaGutika" [6]
[Table 2] described in Charaka
Sutrasthana-4 has been taken as standard drug.

Observations

The observations made in this aspect lead to the conclusions that maximum number of patients i.e. 43.33% belonged to the age group of 31-45 years. More number of male patients i.e. 80% reported in the study than female patients. Maximum number i.e. 33.33% of patients were

serviceman.Maximum i.e. 50% patients were having *KrooraKostha* and 40% patients were having *MadhyamKostha*. Maximum number of patients i.e. 86.67% had hard consistency of stool.

In Present Study, All the patients in the study present reported *Mandagni*(Indigestion) found are 100%, Vibandha (Constipation) was observed in 96.67%, Protruding of pilemass outside to anal canal (self reducing) or within lumen (during proctoscopy) was observed in 70%, RaktaSrava (Bleeding per rectum) was observed in 70% of patients. [Table 3]*GudaVedana*(Pain in Ano) was observed in 30% of patients, Gudakanduwas found 66.67% and GudaSravaFound 33.33%. [Table 4]

RESULTS

Effect of therapy:

Test group provided statistically highly significant results were obtained in the three chief complaints i.e. in Mandagni, Vibandha (Constipation), RaktaSrava (Bleeding per rectum) which were relieved by 60.5%, 60%, 100% respectively at the level of p < 0.001. Whereas statistically significant results were obtained in symptom ArshaBhransa (Protrusion of mass) at

the level of p < 0.05 and percentage wise improvements were observed in 55%. Statistically highly significant (p <0.001) resultwas obtained in the complaint of *Gudkandu* which was by 92.9%. Whereas relieved statistically significant results were obtained in two symptoms like viz. Gudvedanaand Gudsrava at the level of (p < 0.05) but percentage wise improvements were observed as 100% and 100% respectively. [Table 5, 6]

Standard group provided Statistically highly significant results were obtained in the two chief complaints i.e. in Mandagni, Vibandh, which were relieved by 80% and 100 % respectively at the level of p< 0.001.Statistically significant results were also obtained in the symptoms of RaktaSrava (Bleeding per rectum) but relieved 100% respectively level of p 0.004 ArshaBhransa (Protrusion mass)which was relieved by 36.40% at the level of **0.125(significant)**. Whereas statistically significant results were obtained on symptom *Gudasrava*at the level p 0.016 Percentage wise improvements were observed which was relieved by 100%. Statistically insignificant results were obtained in two symptoms GudaKandu and GudaVedanawhich were relieved by 60% and 75% respectively at the level of p 0.250 and p 0.250. [Table 7, 8]

Overall effect of therapy

Overall effect of *Pranada Gutika*shows that 26.67% of the patients got cured,33.33% showed marked improvements, 26.67% of the patients obtained moderate improvements and rest of 13.33% patients obtained improvement in the various clinical manifestations of *Arsha*.[Table 9]

of effect Overall ArshoghnaMahakashayaGutikashows 26.67 % of the patients gotcured, 6.67% showed marked improvement, 53.33% moderately improved in the clinical manifestations and 13.33% of the patients attained mild clinical improvement in the conditions.[Table 10]



DISCUSSION

The subject concerned here is *Arsha*. As described in all the *Ayurvedic* classics, it is very clear that

Arsha is a disease that arises from Mandangni. the drug which is to be used in the management of Arsha must possess the Deepan, Pachan, Anulomanam, Samsha mana and Rakta Sangrahi properties.

We see the chief ingredient for PranadaGutika is Gud, which certainly VatakaphaNashak, Vatanulomak. Most of drugs i.e. Maricha, Pippli, Pippalimool, Chavya, *Talishpatra, Twak*and *Ala*Possess *Tikshna, Laghu*and *Ruksha* properties Rasa, and Katu KatuVipaka with *UshnaVirya*. This functional complex in *PranadaGutikaa* targets its action on *Mandagni*mainly. Its *Tikshna*, Laghu and Ruksha properties acts on Pitta and lessen the dravatva in it which in turn promotes the *Mandagni*. This series of actions and reactions streamlines the Samana and Apanavayu. The function of rest of three Vayumostly depends upon the normal function of these two Vayu. Ingredient *Haritaki*is *vatpittaghna*, can be used in *Tridoshaj* disease, and Arsha is among one them. Therefore the normalization of Samana and Apana ultimately the corrects remaining This threes. proper functioning of the PanchatmaMaruta relieves the pressure on the valve less rectal veins thereby leaving them in normal i.e. Pre Arsha position (normalised anal cushions). As mentioned earlier the fine quality of Dhatus does not allow the faecal matter to form more in quantity. This also contributes to the less pressure on the valve less rectal veins.

Simultaneously to the above operation *Nagkesar*and *Amrunal* act on *Raktasrava*and *Acharyacharaka* indicated *Nagkesara* in bleeding piles.

Vatanuloman is also easily achieved by Haritakiand Gud, which is also an ingredient of this formula. So, "Vatanuloman" and "Agni balvirdhi" effect was desired for each patient.

In Arshoghna Mahakashaya Gutika most of the drugs that are used are Katuvipaki, Ushanvirya and Katu-Tikta-Kashaya Rasa dominance and in addition they do posses Ushna Guna too.

Haritaki and BilvaFalmajja well known Anolomaka drugs. They help the digestion process and facilitate the Vatanuloman in the body.

The therapeutic effect of *Deepana-Pachana* is achieved by *Chitraka, Chavya, Dhanvayasaka and Nagara.*

Kutaja is VyadhiPratyanik drugs of piles and It is the drug of choice in AadraArsha (Bleeding piles).

Ativishaact as a *Deepana, Pachan*,Sangrahi and *Krumihara dravya.*Where as *Daruharidra*act as a *Dosashodhaka* Drug.

To summaries we can say that some ingredients directly dissolve the pathology of piles. This entire drugs together acts specifically at various levels with asingle aim oftreating various clinical manifestations of piles.

CONCLUSION

The therapeutic effect of both the formulations was observed as Dipan-Pachan, Vatanulomya, Kandughna, RaktaStambhaka, Vedanashamak and hence Arshaoghna. Pranada Gutika and ArshoghnaMahakashayaGutikaStatistic ally highly significant results were obtained in the two chief complaints in Mandagni&Vibandha, percentage wise relieved of Arshoghna Mahakashaya Gutikagiven better result than Pranada Gutika. Further, treatment is cost effective and devoid of side effects, so it can be applied in the community.

REFERENCES

[1]www.mayoclinic.com

[2] (AshtangaHridaya with the commentaries Sarvangasundara of Arundatta and AyurvedaRasayana of Hemadri, edited by PanditHariSadasivaSastriParadakaraBhi sagacarya; ChaukhambaOrientalia, Varanasi, Reprint -2010 Nidansthan 8/30.

[3] 2.(CharakaSamhita AyurvedaDipika Commentary of
Chakrapanidatta, edited by
VaidyaJadavajiTrikamjiAcarya,
Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthana
Varanasi , Edition reprint 2011
ChikitsaSthana 14/24)

[4] (SushrutaSamhita Nibandhasangraha Commentary of
Shri Dalhanacarya, Edited by
JadavajiTrikamjiAcarya;
ChaukhambaOrientalia Varanasi,
Seventh Edition 2012. ChikitsaSthana
6/3)

[5] BhaisajyaRatnavali of KavirajGovind Das Sen edited with "Sidhdhiprada" Hindi commentary by Prof. SidhdhiNandan Mishra, ChaukhambaPrakashan, Varanasi, Arshorogadhikara, 9/80-90.
[6]Ibidem[2] (CharakaSamhita, Sutra Sthana4/12)

Table 1. Ingredient of PranadaGutika

SR. NO.	Name of the drug	Part used	Proportion
1.	Haritki	Phala	3 part
2.	Maricha	Phala	4 Part
3.	Pippali	Phala	2 Part
4.	<i>Chavya</i>	Phala	1 Part
5.	Talispatra Talispatra	<i>Patra</i>	1 Part
6.	Nagkesar	Punkesar	½ Part
7.	Pippalimoola	Moola	2 Part
8.	Ala	Phala	1/4 Part
9.	Twak	Twaka	1/4 Part
10.	Amrunal	Moola	1/4 Part
11.	Guda	34777	30 Part

Table 2 Ingredients of ArshoghnaMahakashayaGutika

Drug Name	Latin Name	Part used	Proportion
Kutaja	<i>HolarrhenaAntidysentrica</i> Linn.	Twak	1 Part
Bilva	AegleMarmelosCorr.	PhalaMajja	1 Part
Chitraka	Plumbagozylenicum	Moola	1 Part
Nagara	Zingiberofficinale	Kanda	1 Part
<u>Ativisha</u>	Aconitum hetrophyllum	Kanda	1 Part
Abhaya	Terminaliachebula	Phala	1 Part
Dhanvayasakah	Fagoniacretica	Panchang	1 Part
Daruharidra	Berberisaristata	Kanda	1 Part
Vacha	AcorusCalamus	Kanda	1 Part
Chavya	Piper rectrofractum	Moola	1 Part

Table 3 Presence of the Cardinal symptoms of Arsha in 30 patients

Symptoms	GROUP-A	GROUP-B	Total	Percentage
Mandagni	15	15	30	100
Vibandha	14	15	29	96.67
RaktaSrava	12	09	21	70.00
ArshaBhransha	13	08	21	70.00

Table 4Presence of Associated symptoms of *Arsha* in 30 patients

Ass <mark>ociate</mark> d	GROUP-A	GROUP-B	GROUP-B Total	
s <mark>ymptom</mark>		2		
Gudkandu 💮	12	06	20	66.67
Gudvedana Control Control	06	03	09	30.00
Gudsrava Gudsrava	07	04	11	33.33

Table 5Effect of PranadaGutika on Cardinal symptoms of 15 patients.

Chief Complaints	'n	Mean score		Diff.	%	w	P
		ВТ	AT) /	15	
<i>Mandagni</i> (Indigestion)	15	2.2	0.87	1.33	60.5	105	<0.001
Vibandha (Constipation)	14	2.14	0.87	1.29	60	105	<0.001
(Bleeding per rectum)	13	1.08	0.00	1.08	100	78	<0.001
ArshaBhransa (Protrusion of mass)	13	1.54	0.69	1.08	55	55	0.002

Table 6 Effect of *PranadaGutika*on associated symptoms of 15 patients

Chief Complaints	'n′	Mean score		Diff.	%	w	Р
cinci complants	••	ВТ	AT		76		-
GudKandu	12	1.17	0.08	1.08	92.9	78	<0.001
GudVedana (Pain in Ano)	6	1.00	0	1	100	21	0.031
GudSrava	7	1.00	0	1	100	28	=0.016

Table 7Effect of *ArshoghnaMahakashayaGutika on* cardinal symptoms in 15 patients

Chief Complaints	`n′	Mean score		Diff.	%	W	Р
		ВТ	AT		70	160	
Mandagni (Indigestion)	15	1.73	0.33	1.40	80.8	120	<0.001
Vibandha (Constipation)	9	1.00	0.00	1.00	100	91	<0.001
(Bleeding per rectum)	9	1.00	0.00	1.00	100	45	0.004
ArshaBhransa (Protrusion of mass)	8	1.38	0.88	0.50	36.40	10	0.125

Table 8Effect of ArshoghnaMahakashayaGutika on associated symptoms in 15 patients

Associated	`n' /	Mean score		Diff.	%	w	P
Complaints	XY	ВТ	AT	A.	N ²		F
GudKandu	5	1.00	0.4	0.6	60	6	0.250
GudVedana (Pain in Ano)	3	1.33	0.33	1.00	75	6	0.250
GudSrava	3	1.00	0.00	1.00	100	-28	0.016

Table 9 Overall effect of *PranadaGutika* 15 patients of *Arsha*

Total Effect	Percentage	No. of pts	Percentage
Cured	100%	03	20%
Markedly Improved	76 – 99%	01	6.67%
Moderately Improved	51 – 75%	09	60%
Mild Improved	26 – 50%	02	13.33%
Unchanged	< 25%	00	00.00 %

Table 10 Overall effect of ArshoghnaMahakashayaGutika on 15 patients of Arsha

Total Effect	Percentage	No. of pts	Percentage
Cured	100%	04	26.67%
M <mark>arkedly Im</mark> proved	76 – 99%	01	6.67%
Moderately Improved	51 – 75%	08	53.33.%
Mild Improved	26 – 50%	02	13.33%
Unchanged	< 25%	00	00

Source of Support: NIL

Conflict of Interest : None declared

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Dr.Mahesh Patel Lecturer, M.D. (Kayachikitsa), G.J.Patel institute of ayurvedicstudies, New

v.v.nagar mrpatel20789@gmail.com